

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,639	10/11/2001	Patricia B. Smith	TI-29811	8363	
23494	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INST	TRUMENTS INCORPO	RATED	TED HOANG, QUOC DINH		
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2818		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			NU			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/975,639	SMITH ET AL.				
, .a., .c., , , .c.,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quoc D Hoang	2818				
Th MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cov r sh t w	ith the correspond nce add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is refinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Not Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF	be either: (1) a timely filed amendn ice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (is application. A proper re	cation in			
PERIO	OD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing event, however, will the statutory period for replowed ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST 706.07(f).	date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set y expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1. have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	period of extension and the corresponding ame of the shortened statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate exally set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension the						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not b	e entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would r	equire further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter	(see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	application in better form for appea	by materially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims wit	hout canceling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE:	laudina ania skina (n).					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ad in a concrete timely file	d amandmant			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ application in condition for allowance l		een considered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be corraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		SOLELY to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amende			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be)	as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-13, and 16-39.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	1:					
8. The drawing correction filed on	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosu	ire Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
10. Other:		1422				
		//// <i>//</i> HOAI HO				
		PRIMARY EXAMINE	R			